#### EXHIBIT A

# Kirk J. DeNiro

From:

Nhat Nguyen [nhatn@rambus.com]

Sent:

Friday, July 20, 2001 9:18 AM

To:

Chang, Ken

Cc:

Para Segaram (para); Zerbe, Jared; Donnelly, Kevin

Subject: Re: [Fwd: Re: JNI]

## Ken Chang wrote:

>

> Nhat Nguyen wrote:

>

- >>> On the technical front: we came out of the meeting with a simple TX-path
- >>> design (Bill & Nhat) and a simple RX-path design (Nhat).

>

- > I think we need to discuss your design for the Rx-path at some point. I
- > plan to write a patent disclosure based on the solution I mentioned to Para
- > and you briefly before. I just want to make sure that your solution is not
- > exactly what I had in mind.

#### Ken,

To be very honest, it was a 3-minute chat and I had no idea what you had in mind. Para wanted to hear what I had written down on a frame-maker document the potential problems with the existing CDR when you approached us.

I had 2 technical discussions with Kevin before he left and it was before our brief chat. At the time, my thinking was that the TX & RX paths had the same speed paths. The solution I discussed with Kevin was a simple & elegant one. Later on, after Anil confirmed to me that the speed in the two paths can be different, I went on to finer tune the solution I had had in mind.

Feel free to write a disclosure based on whatever your thinking is. It could very well turn out that yours and mine are similar but as far as I'm concerned, I have no knowledge whatsoever about yours. Keep in mind that before our brief chat, we never had a discussion on the JNI problems.

I hope that's clear.

### Nhat

- >Ken
- > --
- > Ken Chang
- > kchang@rambus.com
- > (650) 947-5322 (O)